## J. Y. Interpretation No.118 (December 7, 1966) \*

**ISSUE:** For a ruling to correct the technical errors found in a final judgment, is it necessary to have the judge who rendered the said judgment to be present?

## **RELEVANT LAWS:**

J.Y. Interpretation No. 43 (司法院釋字第四十三號解釋).

## **KEYWORDS:**

correction of technical errors (更正訴訟程序性之錯誤).\*\*

**HOLDING:** The reference to "corrections of a ruling" in J.Y. Interpretation No. 43 does not require the judge who rendered a final judgment to participate in the judgment of the corrections.

解釋文:本院釋字第四十三號 解釋之更正裁定,不以原判決推事之參 與為必要。

**REASONING:** The part in J.Y. Interpretation No. 43 concerning the corrections of a ruling refers to apparent clerical errors only, the corrections of which do not affect the reasoning and judgment of the case. Such errors can be made by a ruling and the judge who ren-

解釋理由書:本院釋字第四十三號解釋所稱得以裁定更正之刑事判決,係以該判決中之文字顯屬誤寫者而言。此項更正,既不影響於全案情節與判決之本旨,其裁定自不以原判決推事之參與為必要。

<sup>\*</sup> Translated by Wei-Feng Huang.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Contents within frame, not part of the original text, are added for reference purpose only.

## **238** J. Y. Interpretation No.118

dered the original judgment is not required to participate in the judgment of the ruling.